

4

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

ANN ARBOR DIVISION

CS. NO.

VINCENT F. RIVERA, #88548,  
PLAINTIFF(S)/PETITIONER(S),COMPLAINT-JURY  
TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

JURISDICTION: 28 USC § 1343

VENUE: 28 USC § 1391(c)

JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM, GOVERNOR,  
JOHN CHERRY, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR,  
TERRI LYNN LAND, SECRETARY OF STATE,  
MICHAEL COX, ATTORNEY GENERAL,  
JAY B. RISING, TREASURER,  
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE PETE HOEKSTRA,  
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE JOHN CONYERS,  
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE MIKE ROGERS,  
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE FRED UPTON,  
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE JOHN DINGELL, AND  
U.S. SENATOR CARL LEVIN, OFFICIALLY,  
PERSONALLY, RESPECTIVELY, SPECIFICALLY,  
ETC.; ET AL.,

DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS.

Case: 2:08-cv-12722  
Judge: Borman, Paul D  
MJ: Hluchaniuk, Michael J.  
Filed: 06-25-2008 At 01:59 PM  
IFP RIVERA V. GRANHOLM ET AL (JTC)PLAINTIFF(S)/PETITIONER(S) VINCENT F. RIVERA, SUES THE ABOVE-  
NAMED DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS, AND ALLEGES:

## COUNT 1

DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS 42 USC §§  
1983, 1985 (3), 1986 & 1997(a)(1) & (e); FOR  
VIOLATIONS OF PLAINTIFF(S)/PETITIONER(S) FEDE-  
RAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE 1<sup>ST</sup>, 4<sup>TH</sup>,  
5<sup>TH</sup>, 8<sup>TH</sup>, 10<sup>TH</sup>, 13<sup>TH</sup>, 14<sup>TH</sup>, 15<sup>TH</sup>, & 24<sup>TH</sup> AMENDS.

## COUNT 2

CONSPIRACY TO DENY PLAINTIFF(S)/PETITIONER(S)  
FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

## COUNT 3

PENDING STATE CLAIM FOR INVASION OF PRIVACY  
BY ELECTRONIC EAVESDROPPING DEVICE.

## COUNT 4

PENDING STATE CLAIM FOR BREACH OF PUBLIC  
TRUST.

[illegible]

PRISON OFFICIALS ENFORCE HARDSHIP AS A DEFLECTION/DIS-  
TRACTION TACTIC. "TORTURE POLICY" IN OTHER WORDS. WHICH SHIFTS THE FOCUS OF THE PUBLIC EYE AWAY FROM  
THE STATES PRINCIPALS IN THE UNLAWFUL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL DIVERSION OPERATION. AND MORE SPECIFICALLY,  
THE ACTUAL OVERSEER(S) AND ORGANIZER(S) OF THE SCHEME - VIZ, THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA), THE SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE (1996-2008), THE SENATE AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION & FORESTRY COMMITTEE, AND THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (ACE). WHICH SHEDS LIGHT ON THE PENDING \$286  
BILLION AGRICULTURE/FARM SUBSIDIES BILL. MICHIGAN, IS PART OF A NATIONWIDE CONSPIRACY TO DIVERT  
AGRIBUSINESS/AG BIO PRODUCTS TO THE AFGHAN PROVINCIAL AUTHORITY AND NORTHERN ALLIANCE FORCES  
FOR POPPY FARMING AND OPIUM PRODUCTION. THE SEPTEMBER 11, 2001 TERRORIST ATTACKS UPON THE  
UNITED STATES, PROVIDED THE JUSTIFICATION FOR INVADING AFGHANISTAN (OPERATION ANA CONDA). THAT  
WAS 7-YEARS AGO. AND THE U.S. MILITARY WAS OCCUPIED AFGHANISTAN IN A NON-COMBAT ROLE SINCE.  
THE INFLUENCE OF THE TALIBAN AND ITS ENFORCER, THE AL QAEDA, WAS REMOVED AT THAT TIME. THE  
TALIBAN REGIME SUPPORTED ITSELF THROUGH THE WORLDWIDE HEROIN-OPIUM TRADE. IN 2007, AFGHAN-  
ISTAN PRODUCED 9,000 TONS OF RAW OPIUM. ENOUGH TO MANUFACTURE 880-TONS OF HEROIN. A  
TOTAL OF 93% OF THE WORLD'S SUPPLY. HOWEVER, THE AFGHAN PROVINCIAL AUTHORITY, AND THE U.S.-BACKED  
NARCO-TRAFFICKING NORTHERN ALLIANCE, WERE IN CONTROL OF KABUL. NOT THE TALIBAN. OF UNITED NA-  
TION'S SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION 1333. TORTURING PRISONERS AS SUBTERFUGE IS CONSIDERED  
A NATIONAL SECURITY SECRET, ON THE (SAME) LEVEL AS THE JOINT FEDERAL/STATE CONSPIRACY TO  
DIVERT AGRIBUSINESS/AG BIO PRODUCTS TO AFGHANISTAN, FROM THE PRISON INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX. A  
LOST NATIONAL SECURITY SECRET, THAT IS.

$\times \quad \times \quad \times \quad \times$

✓ SENS. JOHN MCCAIN, R-ARIZ., AND MARK PRYOR, D-ARK.

RELIEF SOUGHT. -

THE NATURE OF THE RELIEF SOUGHT IS AS FOLLOWS:

A.) AN AWARD OF MONETARY COMPENSATION IN THE AMOUNT OF ONE HUNDRED SEVENTEEN TRILLION DOLLARS (\$117,000,000,000,000.00), IN US CURRENCY, CHINA YUAN, EU EUROS, OR INTERNATIONAL AMERO DOLLAR, AT THE PRESENT RATE OF EXCHANGE;


B.) AN ORDER ENJOINING THE PARTIES DEFENDANT-RESPONDENT, THEIR OFFICERS, AGENTS, OR EMPLOYEES, FROM ENGAGING IN ANY ADDITIONAL VIOLATION OF MR. RIVERA'S RIGHTS. INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, VIOLATION OF PRESCRIPTION DRUG IMPROVEMENT & MODERNIZATION ACT, THE DANGEROUS DRUG DIVERSION CONTROL ACT, THE FOOD, DRUG, & COSMETIC ACT, THE GENERIC DRUG ENFORCEMENT ACT, THE DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION & CONTROL ACT, AND ANY PENDING OR PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED LAWS RELATING TO THE IMPORTATION OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS UNDER THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT (NAFTA);

C.) AN ORDER DECLARING THE RIGHTS OF THE PARTIES;

D.) AN AWARD OF COSTS AND EXPENSES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND AN ORDER

E.) GRANTING SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER RELIEF AS TO THE COURT MAY SEEM JUST AND PROPER.

DATED: MILTON, FLORIDA  
JUNE 18, 2008

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,  
  
By: VINCENT F. RIVERA #58548  
SANTA ROSA CORR. INST.  
5850 EAST MILTON RD  
MILTON, FLORIDA 32583  
PRO SE

**CIVIL COVER SHEET FOR PRISONER CASES**

<b>Case No.</b> 08-12722		<b>Judge:</b> Borman	<b>Magistrate Judge:</b> Hluchaniuk
<b>Name of 1<sup>st</sup> Listed Plaintiff/Petitioner:</b> Vincent F. Rivera		<b>Name of 1<sup>st</sup> Listed Defendant/Respondent:</b> Jennifer M. Granholm et al	
<b>Inmate Number:</b> 518548		<b>Additional Information:</b>	
<b>Plaintiff/Petitioner's Attorney and Address Information:</b>			
<b>Correctional Facility:</b>  Santa Rosa Correctional Institution 5850 East Milton Road Milton, Florida 32583			

**BASIS OF JURISDICTION**

- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant  
☒ 3 Federal Question

**ORIGIN**

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding  
☐ 5 Transferred from Another District Court  
☐ Other:

**NATURE OF SUIT**

- ☐ 530 Habeas Corpus  
☐ 540 Mandamus  
☒ 550 Civil Rights  
☐ 555 Prison Conditions

**FEE STATUS**

- ☒ IFP *In Forma Pauperis*  
☐ PD Paid

**PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11****1. Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?**

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

➤ If yes, give the following information:

Court: \_\_\_\_\_

Case No: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

**2. Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)**

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

➤ If yes, give the following information:

Court: \_\_\_\_\_

Case No: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge: \_\_\_\_\_